

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,061	01/11/2002	Mohamed Alam	ALAM-FULL	2788
4988 75	90 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
ALFRED M. WALKER			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
225 OLD COUNTRY ROAD MELVILLE, NY 11747-2712			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIEE VIEEE, IV	112 117 17 20/12		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/046,061	ALAM, MOHAMED			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arthur L. Corbin	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ne 2006				
		action is non-final.				
. —	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the merits is			
-/-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.			·		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4,12-14 and 20-27</u>		on			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>5-11,13 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	·					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•			
الارادا	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		•	l).		
'')	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 5, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 5 and 8 are unclear in reciting "comprised...aqueous mixture of" (lines 4-5), which can be corrected by changing this unclear language to "including a composition comprising". Also, in claim 8, line 2 "comprising" should be added after "cooking". Claim 8 is also misdescriptive in reciting "sample" (lines 3, 4 and last line) since "sample" does not appear in the claims 9-11 and 13. Claim 8 is further indefinite in not reciting to what "thereof" (lines 9 and 12) refers, which can be corrected by changing "thereof" to "of the raw food product". Claim 10 is indefinite in failing to recite proper Markush language, i.e. "the group consisting of" after "from". Corrections are required without new matter.
- 3. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 13, "a" should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 5-11, 13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Cooking of India (page 43) in view of Powell, Brotsky and British patent 18,345 as set forth in paragraph no. 6, Paper No. 092804.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed June 20, 2006 and the accompanying 132 declaration have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The declaration is still deficient in failing to state the components and amounts thereof in the "Clean A Meal" product discussed in said declaration. Only the components and amounts of the "Cooking of India" product are given. However, one of these amounts is incorrect since the primary reference uses 1 teaspoon of turmeric rather than the 1 tablespoon as stated by declarant on page 1 of said declaration.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/046,061

Art Unit: 1761

1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

Page 4